| | | Qu P Project (Quality, In | ality Impact Assessm | | 19/10 | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|---|---|---|--------------------------------|
| | Project Name | Document Management | novation, i roductivit | ty and Trevention/20 | 10/13 | | |
| | UI Number | 2 oounioni managonioni | | | | | |
| | | Jo Reynolds | | | | | |
| | Project Lead | 30 Reynolds | | | | | |
| | Quality Lead | | | | | | |
| | Programme Board | P | Imary Care Commissioni | ng/ Milestone Review Boa | ard | | |
| | Verifying Clinician | | | | | | |
| Section A | Project Overview | specification features a s | eries of enhancements to a, and targets the quantity | all part of the national QC support patients identifie and quality of those diag | ed as requiring a | | |
| Sec | Quality Indicators | Improved take up of SM | port for diagnosis of demo II and LD healthchecks SMI and LD healthcheck | | | | |
| | KPI Assurance (sources & reporting) | Activity will form part of t by practices within the q | | port to MRB/ PCCCC det | ailing the progress made | | |
| | | | ASSESSMENT | | | | |
| | | Positive Impact of | of the Project on: | Negative Impact | of the Project on: | | |
| | Patient Safety | improved knowledge of c improved care planning Improved monitoring and conditions | | patients may not wish to issues may not be identi | | 2 Use table 1 to deter predicted frequency of | of occurrence ring within a |
| Section B | Patient Experience | emerging health issues a are treated appropriately | | patients may not wish to | attend | 3 Determine the 4 Calculate the risk | e conseque |
| | Clinical Effectiveness | Improved detail in patien | t records | increased demand on ca | pacity | 5 Identify the level a whether risks are to b | |
| | Mitigation | and consistent auditable | activity is taking place. | d in conjunction with prac The practice will be provid iical correspondence utili | ded with or supported to | Risk Quantificatior Table 1 Likelihood What is the likeliho Likelihood score Descriptor | score (L) |
| \square | | (What is the | Risk Grading Risk of the negative Imp | pact occurring) | | Frequency How often might | This will probably |
| | | Likelihood Score | Consequence Score | | lisk Score | it/does it happen | happen/r |
| Section C | | 1 Rare; 2 Unlikely; 3 Possible; 4 Likely; 5 Almost Certain | 1 Negligible; 2 Minor; 3 Moderate; 4 Major; 5 Catastrophic | Likelihood x Consequence (L x C) = R (Risk score) | Drop Down Selection | | |
| Sec | Patient Safety | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 to 6: Moderate Risk | Likelihood score | |
| | Patient Experience | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 to 3: Low Risk | 5 Catastrophic 4 Major 3 Medesate | |
| | Clinical Effectiveness | 3 | 1 | 3 | | 3 Moderate 2 Minor 1 Negligible | |
| | | I | | | | | Risk sc |
| | Paulaura | Cim | APPROVAL - Initial QI/ ature | Date | Agreed Yes/No Including | 1 to 3 4 to 6 | |
| | Reviewer | | | | Comments | | |
| Section D | Project Lead Quality Lead | Jo Re Liz Corrigan | ynolds | 12/11/18 13/11/18 | n/a Agreed - risks are clearly identified and mitigations presented. Is there clarification over who will develop the policies and protocols to support the programme? JR- protocols are already contained within EMIS system. Any additional need will be developed in conjunction with Clinical Lead | | |
| | Patient Rep | | | | | | |
| | Head of Quality | | | | | | |
| | Programme Board Review | | | | |] | |
| | | GP / Clinical I | Review (required at Busi | iness Case QIA) | |] | |
| | GP / Clinical Name | | | | | | |

Risk Scoring Guide: Instructions for use explicitly in terms of the adverse consequence(s) that might arise from the risk. kelihood score (L) for those adverse outcomes. If possible, score the likelihood by assigning a ce of the adverse outcome. If this is not possible, assign a probability to the adverse outcome a given time frame, such as the lifetime of a project or a patient care episode. mine a numerical probability then use the probability descriptions to determine the most appropriate score nce score (C) for the potential adverse outcome(s) relevant to the risk being evaluated. isk multiplying the likelihood by the consequence: L (likelihood) x C (consequence) = R (risk score) risk will be managed in the organisation, assign priorities for remedial action, and determine t on the basis of the colour bandings and risk ratings, and the organisation's risk management include the risk in the organisation risk register at the appropriate level

consequence occurring?

| Likelihood score | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Descriptor | Rare | Unlikely | Possible | Likely | Almost certain |
| Frequency | This will | Do not expect it | Might happen or | Will probably | Will undoubtedly |
| How often might | probably never | to happen/recur | recur | happen/recur | happen/recur, |
| it/does it happen | happen/recur | but it is possible | occasionally | but it is not a | possibly |
| | | it may do so | | persisting issue | frequently |

| | | Risk System | | | |
|------------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------|--------|----------------|
| Likelihood score | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | Rare | Unlikely | Possible | Likely | Almost certain |
| 5 Catastrophip | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 |
| 4 Major | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 |
| 3 Moderate | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 |
| 2 Minor | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| 1 Negligible | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Risk sc | oring = consequence x like | lihood (L x C) | | | |
| | | a | | | |

| 1 to 3 | Low Risk | 8 to 12 | High Risk |
|--------|---------------|----------|--------------|
| 4 to 6 | Moderate Risk | 15 to 25 | Extreme Risk |
| | | | |

| | Date | |
|-----------|----------|---|
| Soction E | Comments | If a GP review is not required please confirm why |

| | | APPROVAL - Business Cas | e QIA | |
|---------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|
| | Reviewer | Signature | Date | Agreed Yes/No Including Comments |
| | Project Lead | | | |
| on F | Patient Rep | | | |
| Section | Quality Lead | | | |
| •, | Head of Quality | | | |
| | Programme Board Review | | | |
| | Approval Board Approval | | | |

| | Post Implementation Review |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Benefits Realisation & Close Review |
| Date of Project Implementation | |
| Date of Project Review | |

| | Findings From Benefits Realisation Review | include here feedback from patients, performance & activity information +/- and quality monitoring arrangements for the future. | | | | |
|---------|---|---|------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Concerns identified as a result of this scheme | | | | | |
| | What change has occurred as a result of the project implementation | | | | | |
| D L | Date of Closure | insert date | | | | |
| Section | Summary of Achievements & Monitoring Arrangements | insert bullet points providing a summary of achievements and how the project/ service will be monitored hereafter. | | | | |
| | Reason for Closure | i.e. project achieved, abandoned, delivered or suspend. | | | | |
| | Final Risk Score | | | | | |
| | APPROVAL | | | | | |
| | Reviewer | Signature | Date | Agreed Yes/No Including Comments | | |
| | Project Lead | | | | | |
| | Patient Rep | | | | | |
| | Quality Lead | | | | | |
| | Head of Quality | | | | | |
| | Programme Board Review | | | | | |